



## *EU Government Barometer*

*Assessing progress on the  
FLEGT Action Plan  
commitments and EUTR  
across the EU 27*

Beatrix Richards,  
Head of Forest Policy and Trade,  
WWF-UK



## Methodology

---

- Interview by a WWF staff member based in the country
  - Or interview by an in-country consultant
  - Results compiled and analysed by an independent consultant
  - Harmonisation process to ensure consistency across all the countries
-



## Methodology

---

### Scores by country

- 9 questions. 2 for each
- Total score of 18 points

### Scores by Issues

- Total of 54 points for each issue
-



## Methodology

---

### Scores for countries (2012):

**13 up to 18 points: good**

(in 2007: 14-20 points; 2006: 16 - 22 points;  
2004: 13 - 18 points)

**7 up to 12 points: on the right path, but  
needs improvement**

(in 2007: 8-13 points; 2006: 9 - 15 points;  
2004: 7 - 12 points)

**0 up to 6 points: inadequate**

(in 2007: 0-7 points; 2006: 0 - 8; 2004: 0 - 6  
points)

---



## Methodology

---

### Scores for Issues

**From 37 to 54 points: good**










**From 19 to 36 points: on the right path  
but needs improvement**

**Up to 18 points: inadequate**

---

## Results

### Scores by issues for 2012

<u>Commitment to cross-departmental working.</u>	31		<u>Commitment to procuring sustainable timber throughout the public sector.</u>	17		<u>Can the government prove improvements to governance as a result of the VPA process?</u>	3	
<u>Has the necessary action taken place to enable FLEGT-licensed timber to be imported?</u>	33		<u>Can the government prove that the policy is being implemented?</u>	8		<u>Commitment to implementing the EU Timber Regulation.</u>	18	
<u>Will the penalties and sanctions be sufficiently robust? (FLEGT)</u>	21		<u>Is the government involved in a meaningful way in the VPA process</u>	10		<u>Will the penalties and sanctions be sufficiently robust? (EU)</u>	17	

## Results

### Scores by country for 2012

United Kingdom	12		<a href="#">Cyprus</a>	6		<a href="#">Malta</a>	3	
The Netherlands	12		<a href="#">Czech Republic</a>	6		<a href="#">Slovakia</a>	2	
Germany	12		<a href="#">Hungary</a>	6		<a href="#">Estonia</a>	2	
France	10		<a href="#">Austria</a>	6		<a href="#">Italy</a>	2	
Lithuania	10		<a href="#">Slovenia</a>	5		<a href="#">Greece</a>	1	
Belgium	9		<a href="#">Portugal</a>	5		Spain	1	
Latvia	7		<a href="#">Poland</a>	5		<a href="#">Finland</a>	0	
Denmark	7		<a href="#">Ireland</a>	5				
Sweden	7		<a href="#">Romania</a>	5				
Luxembourg	7		<a href="#">Bulgaria</a>	4				

## Conclusions

---

After 9 years no EU Member State is making good progress on implementing measures to tackle the trade in illegal and unsustainable timber and wood products, as well as deliver the key commitments under the FLEGT Action Plan published in 2003







# EU Government Barometer

9<sup>th</sup> February 2012

## Conclusions

---

2012 is going to be a busy  
year.....





# EU Government Barometer

9<sup>th</sup> February 2012

# Thank you

---

[wwf.org.uk/whatwoodyouchoose](http://wwf.org.uk/whatwoodyouchoose)

---